

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,825	12/23/1999	SHUN Y. LIN	2092/OG278	7998
7.	590 07/19/2002			
PHILIP S. JOHNSON, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			WELLS, LAUREN Q	
NEW BRUNS	WICK, NJ 08993-7003		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/471,825	LIN ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lauren Q Wells	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ars on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final of	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. The proposed emondment(s) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •		115 1 41
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3.
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	f place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-9,13-20,22-24,27-29,33-38,4</u>	0-47,49-53,56-64 and 66.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-5,10-12,2			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disappi	roved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	.,,	······································	
		ISSELL TRAVERS MARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: a) the 102, 103(a), and 112 rejections are maintained for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed 2/4/02, Paper No. 13; b) the addition of process steps into independent claims 1 and 56 is a new issue that will require further search and consideration..